25 Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.

INDEX PAGE Government's Witnesses Special Agent Sara Pedersen Direct Examination by Mr. Freeman: Cross-examination by Mr. Kurt: Redirect Examination by Mr. Freeman: Defendant's Witnesses (None) PAGE Closing by Mr. Freeman: Closing Argument by Mr. Kurt: Rebuttal by Mr. Freeman:

FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 18, 2020

2

1

(Proceedings commenced at 9:30 a.m.)

4

3

5

(Discussion held off the record)

6

THE COURT: Okay. Well, let's go ahead and

7

get started then.

8

This is the United States of America versus Ronald E.

9

Grab. It's Case Number 20-mj-5282.

09:35:23 10

Mr. Grab was charged in a complaint with violations of

11

Title 18, United States Code, Section 922(o), possession of

12

a machine gun; and 26 U.S.C. Section 5861(d), possession of

13

an unregistered machine gun, or automatic weapon as the case

Mr. Grab, when last we were together, I explained to

14

may be.

09:35:51 15

you how we were doing things by video here to protect

16

transports in and out of the courthouse primarily, and just

17 18

keeping people out of the same room where possible. Same

19

deal here today. This would ordinarily be taking place in

09:36:13 20

my courtroom, but we're sort of assembled in a video

21

courtroom here this morning with all the same people that

22

would be in my courtroom; they are witnessed here by video

23

24

conference.

Let me just make sure, since we're on the record, you

09:36:28 25

can see and hear me okay, Mr. Grab.

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, I can. 2 THE COURT: Okay. If at any point that 3 becomes difficult because of a technological glitch or 4 whatever, just please let us know and we'll go back and fix the problem and then fix your understanding. 09:36:44 5 With us here on the conference, we have Attorney 6 7 Thomas Kurt who is representing Mr. Grab. 8 We also have AUSA Freeman on behalf of the Government. 9 It appears that the case agent, Special Agent Pedersen, from the FBI is with us here as well, the same way she would be 09:37:08 10 11 frankly as the case agent seated at counsel table, Mr. Grab, 12 if the case were proceeding in the courtroom. She's here on 13 the video conference. 14 With that, the matter comes on for two things today. 09:37:31 15 First, is a preliminary hearing, and we talked about what a 16 preliminary hearing would be when we did your initial 17 appearance. I guess it was earlier this week perhaps. If 18 not, when was the complaint -- the complaint was filed on 19 the 14th, so I guess it was maybe Monday or Tuesday that we 09:37:52 20 did the initial appearance. It was Monday probably. 21 Mr. Kurt, have you guys decided do we need to go ahead 22 with a preliminary hearing, or is that going to be waived? 23 MR. KURT: Your Honor, I understand that Mr. 24 Grab is going to waive that hearing, and I've prepared the

form with his signature and I've emailed it to Jennifer.

09:38:12 25

1 THE COURT: Okay. So, Mr. Grab, you 2 understand that that's just a probable cause hearing and 3 that if you waive that, I send the matter to the Grand Jury? 4 If we have the hearing and I find probable cause again, I send it to the Grand Jury. 09:38:26 5 Do you remember all of that? 6 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 8 THE COURT: And it is your desire here today 9 to waive your right to a preliminary hearing? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 09:38:33 10 11 THE COURT: Okay. Then I will renew my 12 probable cause determination, and I will bind the matter 13 over to the Grand Jury for its consideration. The other matter of business that we needed to take up 14 09:38:46 15 this morning was the matter of the pretrial release of Mr. 16 Grab. The Pretrial Services Office, in light of virtually 17 nonexistent criminal record of Mr. Grab and the nature of 18 the charges, has recommended that he be released on some 19 moderate, but not extensive, terms of supervision in the 09:39:13 20 grand scheme of things, pursuant to the Bail Reform Act. 21 The Government, nevertheless, moved for a detention and 22 asked for a continuance in order to be able to complete the 23 investigation of some preliminary matters. 2.4 Mr. Freeman, what is your position this morning with 09:39:30 25 regard to my following the recommendation of the Pretrial

Services Office?

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

09:39:45 5

09:40:04 10

09:40:17 15

09:40:32 20

2 MR. FREEMAN: Yes, Your Honor.

The Government still is seeking detention at this point in time. We are prepared to have Special Agent Pedersen testify to the facts that the Government believes justifies a danger to the community.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, I think we better go ahead and do that because you have the burden of proof here.

I'm assuming, Mr. Kurt, you guys don't want to just rollover. You want to have a hearing at this point; is that correct?

MR. KURT: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. I don't blame you at all. So, I guess, go ahead and proceed, Mr. Freeman.

MR. FREEMAN: Your Honor, I'm happy to do so.

I was just curious, I have not been given any proposed

conditions as given by Mr. Grab to the Court, which is

customary, and I was wondering if that had been done.

THE COURT: I don't think so, Mr. Freeman. I think they are just relying on they already got a favorable recommendation from Pretrial Services. So I don't want to put words in Mr. Kurt's mouth, but if I were him, I would rely on the terms and conditions, you know, put forth.

- - -

(Court Reporter was disconnected from the video proceedings

1 and rejoined.) 2 (Discussion held off the record) 3 (The following record was read:) 4 "THE COURT: I don't think so, Mr. Freeman. I 09:40:31 5 think they are just relying on they already got a favorable 6 7 recommendation from Pretrial Services. So I don't want to 8 put words in Mr. Kurt's mouth, but if I were him, I would 9 rely on the terms and conditions, you know, put forth." 09:40:44 10 11 THE COURT: Counsel, any objection to us 12 picking it up there, letting Mr. Freeman call Special Agent 13 Pedersen? I will swear her again, and we can just sort 14 of -- I suspect I might have mumbled on a little bit more, 09:47:24 15 but I don't remember what I said, and I'm sure it wasn't 16 anything particularly pertinent anyway. So are we okay with 17 that, Counsel? 18 MR. KURT: Yes, Your Honor. 19 MR. FREEMAN: Yes, Your Honor. 09:47:35 20 THE COURT: We're back on the record after a 21 technical glitch caused the court reporter to be 22 disconnected, and I was about to turn it over to Mr. Freeman 23 and ask him to call his first witness, please. 24 MR. FREEMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. The 09:47:52 25 Government is seeking detention and would call Special Agent

Q Just roughly, like what month and what year did FBI

09:48:47 25

1 Toledo become aware of a potential target by the name of 2 Ronald Grab? 3 In July of 2020. 4 And with that, what was the initial reporting in regards to why the FBI should take a look at Mr. Grab? 09:49:04 5 We received information that Mr. Grab had attended a 6 7 militia training in Delta, Ohio, and at that training, he 8 had told people he grew castor bean plants, and then showed 9 them rounds from a firearm and had people look at the rounds, and he said that he had ricin on the tips of those 09:49:30 10 11 rounds. 12 In addition to that, was there any reporting about 13 types of weapons that may be illegal to possess? 14 There's a general belief in that militia group that 09:49:51 15 Mr. Grab owned a MAC-10 or a fully automatic weapon, and 16 there had also been comments that he had a lower or upper 17 receiver that was fully automatic. 18 So once FBI Toledo open its investigation, did you 19 have the opportunity to review any communications among militia members? 09:50:20 20 21 I did. Α 22 And their principal means of communication was through 23 what type of application or what type of communication? 24 It was a social media application called Telegram. Α 09:50:40 25 And just very generally, can you describe what Q

1	Telegram	is	to	the	Court?
_		_~~	~ ~		000.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

09:52:31 25

09:51:40 15

09:52:05 20

09:50:59 5

09:51:16 10

- A It's a group messaging application where members, if you are in the group, you can chat with each other and see what other people are posting. So you can either write something or post pictures. I believe you can post videos as well, but I'm not sure on that.
- **Q** Did you review any of those communications among the group chat of the militia?
- A Yes, I did.
- **Q** Was there anything in there where Mr. Grab indicates that he indeed has either ricin-filled bullets or poisonous bullets?
- A Yes, he made a comment. I will look at my notes here. On or about, let's see, August 21st, Mr. Grab made a comment -- well, the group was talking about loading gunpowder into rounds so that it would not exit the enemy, and Mr. Grab commented: "Unless they are laced with castor bean ricin, then you don't have to worry."
- Q Is there anything else within those group chats that you reviewed that would be concerning about potential proclivity to commit violence by Mr. Grab?
- A Other members were discussing Mr. Grab, and they did comment that he has a mini MAC with poisoned bullets.
- Q Was there any discussion by Mr. Grab about who the enemy was, or any frustration that would lead to violence?

	1	A Yes. Again, I will look at my notes here. So on or
	2	about August 30th, there was a group chat where Mr. Grab
	3	made several comments. Some of the comments are: He
	4	stated, "It's okay if they do it, but if we do it,
09:53:02	5	shot will hit the fan. It seems to me it's against the law
	6	to protect the country. Firstly, I'm getting tired of it.
	7	Don't you love being called a fascist and a Nazi, amongst
	8	other things? We need to send them a message that will echo
	9	throughout the world, or at least this country, eye for an
09:53:24	10	eye."
	11	A little bit later he wrote: "Nobody cares about
	12	being called a fascist Nazi, I guess, not while I shoot
:	13	back."
	14	Then he wrote, "Sticks and stones may break your
09:53:37	15	bones, but a 5.56 will ruin their day."
	16	A 5.56 is known as the caliber of a rifle round.
	17	Another member wrote how he doesn't want them rioting
	18	anymore, and he's referring to left-wing activists.
	19	And Mr. Grab responded: "I don't want them on the
09:53:59	20	same planet that I'm on. They are useless garbage."
:	21	And then he wrote: "Wouldn't bother me to shoot them
:	22	too."
:	23	Q Okay. As part of the investigation, did you zone in
:	24	on any property that Mr. Grab owned?
09:54:18	25	A Yes.

1 And where is that? In Delta, Ohio? Q 2 Yes. Α 3 And did you seek a search warrant for that particular 4 location? Yes, we did. 09:54:28 5 Did you execute a search warrant of that particular 6 7 location as early as last week? 8 Α Yes. 9 Can you describe for the Court, I would just say, relevant or pertinent matters that were found upon his 09:54:42 10 11 property? 12 We did find several castor bean plants growing 13 throughout the yard. We would estimate approximately 20 or 14 so plants. We found what appeared to be castor bean seeds 09:55:05 15 and castor beans, and then they also -- he also had the seed 16 pods or the capsules. 17 Did you find any literature in regards to castor bean 18 plants or ricin? 19 We did find a pamphlet that had been printed out on 09:55:34 20 castor beans and then a section was on ricin. 21 Just generally, what was the information contained 22 within that pamphlet that was found within (inaudible) --THE COURT REPORTER: That was found within 23 24 what, Mike?

THE COURT: Yeah, Mike, you cut out.

09:55:49 25

1	MR. FREEMAN: I'm sorry. Within his
2	residence.
3	MR. KURT: I missed that question.
4	THE COURT: Would you ask the whole question
09:56:00 5	again, Mr. Freeman?
6	MR. FREEMAN: Sure.
7	Q Can you give a sense of the information on the
8	pamphlet about ricin that was found within his residence?
9	A The pamphlet discussed that the ricin was dangerous
09:56:17 10	and was a poison and could affect animals and humans, very
11	generally.
12	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Did you find any bullets that appeared to have some
13	sort of substance within them?
14	A Yes. We found several bullets that had a substance in
09:56:35 15	them and they were sent to the lab. I believe it was
16	approximately 36 rounds that we sent to the lab to be
17	analyzed.
18	Q And that was sent to an FBI lab out of state; is that
19	correct?
09:56:49 20	A Correct.
21	Q Has the FBI been in communication with the lab
22	personnel to determine what indeed is in these bullets?
23	A We have been in communication. No official lab report
24	has been issued yet, but they did determine that the
09:57:07 25	substance is not ricin.

1 But is it fair to say that it is still outstanding Q 2 what the substance is and whether it's a derivative of 3 castor beans, even if it is not ricin at all? 4 Is it fair to say they don't have an answer of what's in the substance? 09:57:28 5 Correct. They do not know yet what the substance is. 6 7 Beyond the castor bean plants, seeds, beans, were 8 there any other weapons or firearms found within the 9 residence? Yes. We seized 16 firearms, one of which was a lower 09:57:42 10 11 receiver to an AR-15 type weapon. 12 Did he also have an upper for an AR-15 at his 13 residence? 14 Yes. Α 09:58:02 15 As far as a search team, did not only FBI personnel, 16 but was there also Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosive 17 personnel with you? 18 Yes. Α 19 And did that ATF personnel review the lower receiver 09:58:19 20 that was found on the residence? 21 Yes, he did. Α 22 Did he opine as to whether it was a semiautomatic or a 23 fully automatic weapon? 2.4 He conducted a function test and his belief was that 09:58:33 25 it was a fully automatic weapon.

1 Did he connect the lower receiver that was fully auto Q 2 to the upper that was found at the residence? 3 Yes, he did. Α 4 Was there anything else found within his residence that would be indicative of allegiance or beliefs to any 09:58:53 5 type of white supremacy or Nazi-like beliefs? 6 7 We did find a pin that looked like it may have been 8 part of a uniform at sometime and there was a Nazi swastika 9 on that pin. It is my understanding it is also kind of the German 09:59:17 10 11 war eagle with the Nazi symbol below it; is that correct? 12 Correct. Α 13 It was at this point in time after the execution of 14 the search warrant that Mr. Grab was arrested? 09:59:33 15 Α Correct. 16 MR. FREEMAN: I have nothing further at this 17 time for Special Agent Pedersen, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Mr. Kurt. 19 MR. KURT: Thank you. 09:59:51 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION OF SPECIAL AGENT SARA PEDERSEN 21 BY MR. KURT: 22 Q Good morning, Agent. 23 Α Morning. 24 Agent, you indicated in response to Mr. Freeman's 09:59:57 25 questions, he asked you whether anybody in this militia had

1 made any statements that raised a specter of danger, and I 2 think that you indicated that Mr. Grab had indicated to some 3 of his militia -- fellow militia members about possessing a 4 weapon? The mini MAC with poisoned bullets? Are you 10:00:22 5 referencing that? 6 7 0 Yeah. So that didn't come from Mr. Grab's mouth, but rather from his militia members? 8 9 Correct. Okay. They said it was a general belief that he had 10:00:33 10 11 such a weapon? 12 Correct. Α 13 What is a mini MAC? Q 14 It also can be referred to as an Uzi. Α 10:00:47 15 Q Okay. 16 And it can be fully automatic -- this is just my 17 understanding. I am not a firearms person. My 18 understanding is they can -- mini MACs can be fully 19 automatic or semiautomatic depending on what type it is. 10:01:06 20 Okay. But you didn't have any statements made 21 directly by Mr. Grab that he had bullets with ricin in them; 22 correct? 23 Α We did have statements. 24 By who? Q 10:01:20 25 By Mr. Grab. Α

	apocaar nigono bara rodorson (eross,
1	Q Okay. And those statements were from who? Or how did
2	you come across
3	A Mr. Grab.
4	Q I'm sorry. When did you hear those statements?
10:01:32 5	A Mr. Grab made statements on July 25th that he grew
6	castor beans and had ricin in the tips of his bullets or
7	rounds.
8	Q Okay.
9	THE COURT: I'm sorry. May I interrupt?
10:01:46 10	Could you actually reference that statement? Do you have it
11	there? Could you reference what it is?
12	THE WITNESS: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Because I don't want you to put
14	words in his mouth, paraphrase. I want you to tell me what
10:01:58 15	you believe he actually said on the post.
16	THE WITNESS: Okay. I just have to find it.
17	THE COURT: Yeah. That's okay. But this is
18	pretty important I think.
19	MR. FREEMAN: Your Honor, if I will, just
10:02:14 20	because I think there is a miscommunication happening here.
21	The July date that she's referencing is not a social
22	media post or group chat, it is actually an in-person
23	recorded conversation; so we do have quotes specifically
24	from Mr. Grab. Later on, there is a group chat in which he
10:02:32 25	references it. So there's two separate incidences where,

		Special Agent bala redelsen (C1033)
	1	from Mr. Grab, he references the ricin bullets.
	2	THE COURT: Okay. Are you okay with her
	3	sharing that, Mr. Freeman? I don't want to I don't
	4	MR. FREEMAN: I am, Your Honor.
10:02:48	5	THE COURT: I don't want to compromise a
	6	source, you know, without you having adequate protection
	7	placed here.
	8	But if you could if Mr. Freeman isn't objecting to
	9	my question, which I will let him do, if he wants to tell
10:03:02 1	. 0	you not to answer that question, but if you have a statement
1	.1	attributed to Mr. Grab directly, I would like to hear it.
1	.2	MR. FREEMAN: No objection, Your Honor.
1	.3	THE COURT: Okay.
1	. 4	A Okay. So Mr. Grab, on July 25th, at the militia
10:03:24 1	.5	training, stated:
1	. 6	"Did you ever hear of a castor bean? That's the ricin
1	.7	out of the castor beans, the ricin inside the hallow points.
1	. 8	I grow the castor bean plants, and that's you ever see
1	. 9	rounds like that? I'm thinking if the kids in the
10:03:44 2	20	neighborhood see the plants growing, they're going to say,
2	21	'Man, we could smoke that just once, just once.' And it's a
2	22	painful death because your body just slowly shuts down. You
2	23	can put it on a little pin and just walk by someone and just
2	24	poke 'em. But, you know, if I have to do something to

somebody like that, I don't care about them, I don't care."

10:04:01 25

1	And I will note that Mr. Grab referred to ricin in
2	this, he called it "rose-in" instead of ricin. But that was
3	also clarified by someone asking him basically, excuse my
4	language, "It's fucking ricin, right?" And Mr. Grab
10:04:30 5	responded "Yeah."
6	THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Kurt. I'm
7	sorry for the interruption there.
8	Q So that was on July 25th?
9	A Correct.
10:04:37 10	Q And did you review audio of that statement?
11	A I did.
12	Q Okay. How did you obtain audio of that statement?
13	A I obtained it from another FBI office.
14	Q Okay. So Mr. Freeman also asked you if there were
10:04:57 15	literature or other things within Mr. Grab's residence that
16	concerned you concerning violence, and I think you said that
17	there was some statements made concerning his let's call it
18	antipathy towards rioters and so forth; is that correct?
19	A Correct.
10:05:20 20	Q Okay. And this is not uncommon for people to make
21	comments of antipathy towards these rioters. Would you
22	agree with me?
23	A I couldn't really say.
24	Q There was no statement made with respect to the
10:05:36 25	rioters, for example, that would lead to a criminal

1 investigation on its own; correct? 2 Well, if you only had a statement that was non-threatening, that's not -- that would be First Amendment 3 4 protected speech. We wouldn't investigate something like that. 10:05:54 5 Okay. But in any event, despite what he may have said 6 7 on July 25th, you didn't find any ricin in his residence; 8 correct? 9 The test came back that it was not ricin. 10:06:10 10 Okay. Q 11 So, correct. Α 12 MR. KURT: Okay. No further questions. 13 THE COURT: Any redirect, Mr. Freeman? 14 MR. FREEMAN: Just one point of clarification, 10:06:25 15 Your Honor. 16 REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF SPECIAL AGENT SARA PEDERSEN 17 BY MR. FREEMAN: 18 And you had touched upon this during direct examination, but in addition to the recorded audio 19 10:06:33 20 statement, there was a statement attributed to Mr. Grab that 21 he wrote in the group chat where he, again, references 22 ricin; is that correct? 23 Α Yes. 24 And specifically in the group chat, you had indicated 10:06:47 25 that someone was talking about loading gunpowder. Can you

1	go over that statement again just so it's clear for the
2	Court? I mean, what statement comes specifically from Mr.
3	Grab?
4	A Okay. So this was on a conversation that occurred on
10:07:01 5	or about August 21st, and the militia members were
6	stating one militia member was stating that he was
7	loading gunpowder into rounds, and was hoping that his
8	rounds would not exit the enemy. And so in response to
9	that, Mr. Grab wrote: "Unless they are laced with castor
10:07:24 10	bean ricin, then you don't have to worry."
11	MR. KURT: Okay. Thank you. I have nothing
12	further, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Special Agent
14	Pedersen.
10:07:39 15	Mr. Freeman, any further witnesses.
16	MR. FREEMAN: No, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Or any further and you can
18	proffer. You know, I should have explained to everyone, at
19	a detention hearing, just so the record is clear, the
10:07:58 20	Government, under this circumstance, I believe, has the
21	burden of proof of establishing that there are no available
22	terms and conditions or combination of terms and conditions
23	which can satisfy two considerations.
24	The first consideration is the future appearance of
10:08:21 25	Mr. Grab, the defendant. The Government has a burden of

1 proof by a preponderance of the evidence on that question, 2 which is a simple 51 percent, more than half, situation. As to the other consideration, the other factor, which 3 4 is whether there are available terms and conditions which can reasonably assure the safety of other persons and the 10:08:44 5 community, the Government has a higher standard, and that is 6 7 by what's called clear and convincing evidence, which is a 8 higher standard than a preponderance. It's not all the way 9 to proof beyond a reasonable doubt or anything like that, but it's higher than a preponderance. 10:09:04 10 The rules of evidence don't apply here today. So, for 11 12 example, Mr. Freeman was free to proffer any statements he 13 wanted. Special Agent Pedersen was able to testify without 14 concern about hearsay and things like that, which would not 10:09:23 15 be the case in a trial; but here in this particular 16 proceeding today, that's all fair game. 17 I will give you a chance to make argument, Mr. 18 Freeman. 19 Mr. Kurt, do you have any witnesses you wish to call 10:09:40 20 this morning? 21 MR. KURT: I do not, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Or any evidence you want to 23 proffer even? 2.4 MR. KURT: No.

THE COURT: Okay. Then, Mr. Freeman, I will

10:09:46 25

Case: 3:20-cr-00618-JJH Doc #: 9 Filed: 09/30/20 23 of 30. PageID #: 42

Closing (Government)

1 listen to argument from you about why you believe that -- I 2 suspect you are going to be concentrating on safety of other 3 persons and the community, and how you believe you've established under the 3142 factors that there are not 4 available terms and conditions before us here this morning 10:10:06 5 which could address those concerns. 6 MR. FREEMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. You are 7 8 correct, the Government is not making a risk of flight 9 concern for the Court for its detention purposes. The Government does believe that we have carried our 10:10:22 10 11 burden by clear and convincing evidence that he is a danger 12 to the community and that there are no terms and conditions 13 that could minimize that. 14 Specifically, as you heard from Special Agent, Mr. 10:10:36 15 Grab is part of a militia group which is protected, however, 16 what is not protected is actual planned violence or 17 preparing for violence. 18 In this particular case, Mr. Grab himself discussed 19 who he believed the enemy were to be. He called them "I 10:10:55 20 don't want them on the same planet as me. They are useless 21 garbage," and he said it wouldn't bother him to shoot them. 22 So I think if you look at it from that perspective, he 23 then also shows other militia members rounds that he is 24 representing to be ricin, both in person and on the group

10:11:13 25

chat.

Case: 3:20-cr-00618-JJH Doc #: 9 Filed: 09/30/20 24 of 30. PageID #: 43

10:12:51 25

10:11:31 5

10:11:52 10

10:12:09 15

10:12:32 20

Closing (Government)

We did find bullets in his house, approximately 36, as testified, that had some substance in it. We do not know what that substance is. It is not ricin. However, that does not minimize the fact that maybe he was potentially trying to make ricin. And where you get that from is he has 20 to 25 castor bean plants, he has castor bean seeds, he has the beans themselves. He has many of the materials necessary in order to make ricin. The fact that he may have ultimately been a bad ricin maker I don't think minimizes his dangerousness to the community. Coupled with that, not only do we have someone who is talking about his enemy and wanting to shoot other people, other Americans, we have someone who is growing castor bean plants. He also has a fully automatic weapon, a machine gun.

And I think that in totality, when you look at those factors -- and particularly he references even he doesn't mind being called a Nazi and a fascist, well, lo and behold in his house he has Nazi paraphernalia in his house with the German war eagle and the Nazi emblem behind it.

So if you look at his affiliation with this militia, he discusses specifically committing acts of violence. At his house, he has many of the materials to actually make a biological weapon. He actually then takes the time to fill some substance into 36 rounds and then goes around and represents to the fact that these are poisonous bullets, all

10:13:06 5

10:13:26 10

10:13:41 15

10:13:57 20

10:14:15 25

Closing (Defense)

while having an unlawful machine gun. So I think if you look at the totality of those things, he is a danger to the community.

The only aspect is is his age of being a significant fact of why he is not a danger to the community. I would just highlight to the Court, like the Las Vegas shooter that used a bump-stock to convert a weapon to a machine gun was in his mid 60s, and, therefore, that fact alone does not diminish the fact of the dangerousness he is to community. Obviously, at his age, over 70 years old, he is still talking and going to training and growing castor beans; and, therefore, his age alone does not overcome the rest of the evidence that shows that he is a serious danger to the community.

THE COURT: Mr. Kurt.

MR. KURT: Your Honor, Mr. Freeman refers to Mr. Grab having many of the materials needed to convert castor beans to ricin. There is no evidence at all of that. The plants are there, the seeds were there, but there's certainly no evidence of any chemicals or any materials that would be necessary to make that conversion. I'm not even sure how it is made. My understanding is that it's a fairly complicated chemical procedure. He didn't even have directions how to do that. What he had was a pamphlet that emphasized the danger of the beans. The castor plant is

10:15:49 25

10:15:11 15

10:15:28 20

10:14:32 5

10:14:52 10

Closing (Defense)

used by many people ornamentally, including Mr. Grab. It's in his yard for everybody to see. So it's not -- it doesn't strike me as unusual that he would have a pamphlet that would put him on notice of the danger of the plant.

What we have here is obviously a lot of empty talk among these militia members. Clearly, if he told militia members that he had rounds with ricin in them, he was bragging, and bragging without basis and fact, because in fact these rounds did not have ricin in them. We've heard that from the agent and Mr. Freeman.

I want to take issue with something else. Mr. Grab did not say -- according to the agent's testimony, Mr. Grab did not proudly wear the mantle of a fascist or a Nazi. I believe she said the he objected to people calling him that, and there's nothing wrong with him saying that. I think that puts him in a different light.

The last time we met, the quote, unquote, "elephant in the room" was whether there was actual ricin in these rounds or in Mr. Grab's possession. There is not. He is charged with possession of an automatic weapon and that's it.

The statements that he made to the militia members I don't think amount to direct threats to anybody. If he said he didn't mind some people getting shot that were rioters, I'm not going to give a personal opinion of that, but it's not a threat. It is not a direct threat to shoot anybody,

Rebuttal (Government)

and we haven't had evidence that he was or is planning to harm anybody. And I don't think the Government's carried its burden of showing that he's of such danger to the public that he can't be released.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Any rebuttal, Mr. Freeman?

10:17:36 25

10:16:02 5

10:16:19 10

10:16:43 15

10:17:09 20

MR. FREEMAN: Just one point. In terms of the rioters in that statement, he concludes that statement then "It wouldn't bother me to shoot them too," an actual act of Mr. Grab talking about shooting the rioters, not others.

But we rely on my previous argument, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So this is a tough case because I do agree that a lot of the conduct that Mr. Grab was engaged in is protected by the First Amendment. I, to my core, believe in free speech and the ability to say things and protest, frankly peacefully, to maybe even spout off a little bit.

The problem here for me -- and, again, I'm a gun owner and I believe in the Second Amendment also to my core. I think it's every bit as important as other amendments. The problem here is I've got a combination of some speech that probably crosses over the line in terms -- from commenting about things into threats; and then you back that up with possession of an illegal weapon, meaning the machine gun lower, or this fully automatic lower anyway, I don't

(inaudible) word machine gun, but fully automatic.

10:19:20 25

10:17:51 5

10:18:19 10

10:18:37 15

10:18:55 20

And there are lots of rounds of ammunition in my possession, and none of them have any substances loaded into them.

I have to believe that Mr. Grab either thought it was something toxic, or it is something toxic. There is no reason to be loading substances into bullets unless you think it is making them particularly lethal in a way that would not be consistent with my understanding of our rights to possess firearms and ammunition.

So I'm going to find that the Government has carried the burden here. Admittedly, it's barely clear and convincing, but it is clear and convincing that this combination of what he was saying and what they found with the search warrant.

And I also note that he's not charged with anything other than possessing a machine gun, two different charges based on frankly the same weapon.

I'm a little troubled by the fact that the Government is asking me to detain him based on conduct that they don't feel strongly enough about to charge him with, but I also observe that they are not held to the same standard in terms of bringing that evidence to me. They would have to in good conscience believe they could prove by proof beyond a reasonable doubt in order to charge Mr. Grab with any of

1 those other things related to the castor beans and ricin, 2 and it's a lower standard here in terms of using that 3 evidence to demonstrate dangerousness to the community. 4 So I take -- I certainly am sympathetic to the argument that you are making here, Mr. Kurt, and I believe 10:19:44 5 participation in a militia is protected. I believe in free 6 7 speech. But when you cross over the line and back it up 8 with having an illegal weapon in your possession, at that 9 point, the world kind of comes crashing down on you a little bit in terms of my observation of dangerousness. So I'm 10:20:02 10 11 going to find that they have met their burden. I will issue a written order either today or Monday, 12 13 but I'm just telling you that's what it's going to say. 14 You have a right to take an appeal, Mr. Kurt. That 10:20:21 15 appeal would be to one of the District Judges. It would be 16 randomly assigned because the case has not been indicted, so 17 there is no District Judge on the case, but I don't want you 18 to think that you don't have an avenue to appeal my 19 decision, if you believe there is a basis for it. You could 10:20:40 20 get a de novo review from one of the District Judges if you 21 do that. So that is going to be my order. 22 And with that, we will be adjourned. 23 MR. KURT: Thank you, Your Honor.

(Proceedings concluded at 10:20 a.m.)

24

25

1 CERTIFICATE

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript of the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter prepared from my stenotype notes.

/s/ Stacey L. Kiprotich 09/30/2020 STACEY L. KIPROTICH, RMR, CRR DATE